

A Randomized Trial of Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes and Coronary Artery Disease (BARI 2D)
NEJM - June 11, 2009 - Volume 360, Number 24 - Pages 2503-2515
ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00006305

Clinical Question / Statement:

=====
Optimal treatment for patients with both type 2 diabetes mellitus and stable ischemic heart disease
has not been established.

Endpoints:

=====
Primary: Death from any cause
Secondary: Composite of death, myocardial infarction or stroke (major cardiovascular events)

Enrollment:

=====
Dates: January 2001 thru March 2005
Sites: USA, Canada, Brazil, Mexico, Czech Republic & Austria
2,368 patients
 763 CABG stratum
 378 randomized to revascularization
 188 randomized to insulin sensitization & 190 to insulin provision
 385 randomized to medical therapy
 191 randomized to insulin sensitization & 194 to insulin provision
 1605 PCI stratum
 798 randomized to revascularization
 396 randomized to insulin sensitization & 402 to insulin provision
 807 randomized to medical therapy
 408 randomized to insulin sensitization & 399 to insulin provision

Inclusion Criteria:

=====
25 Years & Older
Diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus
Coronary arteriogram showing one or more vessel amenable to revascularization ($\geq 50\%$ stenosis)
Objective documentation of ischemia or subjective documentation of typical angina with $\geq 70\%$
stenosis in at least one artery
Ability to perform all tasks related to glycemic control and risk factor management

Exclusion Criteria:

=====
Definite need for invasive intervention as determined by the attending cardiologist

Prior CABG or PCI within 12 months before study entry

Left main CAD $\geq 50\%$

Planned intervention for disease in a bypass graft if the patient was randomly assigned to a strategy of initial revascularization

Class III or IV heart failure

Creatinine of > 2.0 mg/dL

HgbA1c of $> 13\%$

Need for major vascular surgery concomitant with revascularization (Ex. carotid endarterectomy)

Non-cardiac illness expected to limit survival

Hepatic disease (ALT $> 2x$ upper limit of normal)

Fasting triglycerides $> 1,000$ mg/dL in the presence of moderate glycemic control (HgbA1c of $< 9.0\%$)

Current EtOH abuse

Chronic steroid use judged to interfere with the control of diabetes, exceeding 10 mg of Prednisone per day (or the equivalent)

Pregnancy (known, suspected or planned in 5 years after study entry)

Geographically inaccessible or unable to return for follow-up

Enrolled in a competing randomized trial or clinical study

Unable to understand or cooperate with protocol requirements

Conclusions:

=====

- 1) No statistical difference in primary end point between patients undergoing prompt revascularization vs. optimal medical therapy.
- 2) There may be a trend (statistical difference) in secondary end point between revascularization (CABG stratum) vs. optimal medical therapy (77.6% vs. 69.5%, $p = 0.01$)
 - Specifically involving the insulin sensitization group vs. the insulin provision group (18.7% vs. 32.0%, $p = 0.002$)

Criticisms / Discussion:

=====

- BARI 2D was not designed to be a direct comparison of revascularization strategies and so cannot be generalizable to mean that CABG is superior to PCI among diabetic patients with stable CAD
- No data given on 2,100+ patients who were ineligible for randomization
- "Responsible physician" determined first CABG vs. PCI prior to randomization - PCI cohort may have had less severe CAD than CABG cohort, so inherent selection bias
- Included patients had coronary anatomy amenable to revascularization, limiting generalizability of the results
- High rates of crossover (with respect to both the insulin sensitization vs. insulin provision metric and the revascularization vs. medical therapy strategies).
- Using CK of 10x the upper limit of normal as the definition of a peri-operative MI may have been too high (most use 5x upper limit of normal).